

| Source   | Summary of Comments   | Summary of Points   | Analysis   | Action   |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 44.5<br>Ms A E Woodward,<br>Aberdeenshire Branch Scottish<br>Wildlife Trust            | The Structure Plan does not fulfil PAN37 para 46 which states that the Key Diagram should show where restraint is required. Natural Heritage designations are not shown in the Key Diagram.   | General comments on the status and detail contained in the Key Diagram. | It is not the function of the Key Diagram to identify individual sites or define precise boundaries. PAN 37 indicates this should be reflected in the notation. This is the preferred approach in this instance. There is need, however, to ensure there is clarity in the way designations such as Natural Heritage designations are to be protected.<br>The need for clarity also applies to the function of the Key Diagram. The information it contains is set down in an indicative way and this needs to be expressed in the notation. There is need for improved cross referencing. | Text has been amended to improve clarity between notation and Key Diagram. |
| 112.13<br>Mr P Clarke,<br>Barton Willmore Partnership,<br>The Countesswells Consortium | The Key Diagram should confirm it is indicative only and should not be used to identify precise boundaries, such as that of the Green Belt, or the location of sites.   |   |  |  |
| 113.13<br>Mr P Clarke,<br>Barton Willmore Partnership,<br>Stewart Milne Group          | The Key Diagram should confirm it is indicative only and should not be used to identify precise boundaries, such as that of the Green Belt, or the location of sites.   |   |  |  |
| 141.54<br>Ms R Rush,<br>SEPA North Region  | It is recommended that this diagram also details the strategic areas of environmental protection (e.g. nationally or internationally important sites) as well as strategic areas for development. These would include SPAs, SACs, Ramsar Sites and Coastal Zones. |   |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |